

March 28, 2013

Honorable Jeffrey Cohen Acting Secretary to the Commission New York State Public Service Commission Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Re: Case 07-M-0548 – Proceeding on Motion of the Commission Regarding an Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard

Case 07-G-0141 – Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation for Gas Service – Conservation Incentive Program

Dear Acting Secretary Cohen,

Pursuant to the Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard ("EEPS") reporting guidelines, attached please find the 2012 EEPS Program Administrator Annual Report.

Any questions you may have regarding the attached can be directed to the undersigned at (716) 857-7805 or at meinle@natfuel.com.

Respectfully submitted,

Gr H. Mon

Eric H. Meinl General Manager, Rates & Regulatory Affairs

Attachments

Section 1B - PA Gas Portfolio Summary

| | 2012 Budget | Totals through December 2012 | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | Expenditures | Encumbrances | Total Expended & | Total as % of 2012 | |
| | | | | Encumbered | Budget | |
| | (7a) | (7b) | (7c) | (7d)=(7b)+(7c) | (7d)/(7a) | |
| Res. Rebate Program (1) | \$2,948,633 | \$1,672,699 | \$112,196 | \$1,784,895 | 61% | |
| NRCIP (1) | \$1,912,640 | \$512,995 | \$0 | \$512,995 | 27% | |
| LIURP (1) | \$5,178,727 | \$3,871,894 | \$0 | \$3,871,894 | 75% | |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$10,040,000 | \$6,057,588 | \$112,196 | \$6,169,784 | 61% | |

 Table 7: EEPS 2 Gas Program Financial Summary

(1) The numbers shown above for each individual program include E, M & V and Outreach and Education.

Table 8: EEPS 2 Gas Program Net Energy Savings Summary (Dth)

| | 2012 Target | Totals through December 2012 | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | Acquired | Committed | Total Acquired & | Total as % of 2012 |
| | | | | Committed | Target |
| | (8a) | (8b) | (8c) | (8d)=(8b)+(8c) | (8d)/(8a) |
| Res. Rebate Program | 163,710 | 91,714 | 7,322 | 99,036 | 61% |
| NRCIP | 139,049 | 19,521 | 0 | 19,521 | 14% |
| LIURP | 42,580 | 30,544 | 0 | 30,544 | 72% |

Section 2 – EEPS Evaluation Studies Summary

Table 9: Completed EEPS Evaluation Studies

| Program Name (or Statewide Study Name) | Evaluation Type | Study Completion | Hyperlink or DMM Number | Appendix Page |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Date | | Reference |
| LIURP Comparison to EmPower | Impact Assessment | July 6, 2011 | Docket 07-G-0141 / Filing # 335 | N/A |
| Conservation Incentive Program (1) | Process Evaluation | December 22, 2011 | Docket 07-G-0141 / Filing # 335 | N/A |
| Conservation Incentive Program | Pre/Post Analysis | On-going | Docket 07-G-0141 / Filing # 335 | N/A |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(1) National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation has received and forwarded to Staff a draft Process Evaluation report from its evaluation contractor in December 2011. The Company has started to take action on the recommendations from the Process Evaluation report and has developed a formal implementation plan. The Company is awaiting Staff's formal approval of the report.

Table 10: Planned EEPS Evaluation Studies

| Program Name (or Statewide Study Name) | Evaluation Type | Anticipated |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Completion Date |
| Statewide Residential Gas HVAC | Impact Evaluation | Fall 2013 |
| Home Performance w/ Energy Star / EmPower | Impact Evaluation | Phase I – Summer 2013 |
| NRCIP / Existing Facilities | Impact Evaluation | TBD – Yet to Schedule |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Table 12: Gas Program Evaluation Factors, as applied in filed EEPS I & EEPS II reports

(Any program for which the PA has a pending or final impact evaluation that recommends a different net-to-gross ratio and/or realization rate than what had been applied to the reported savings are noted and explained in a footnote in the final row of the table.)

NFGDC Response:

NFGDC does not yet have a pending or final impact evaluation recommending a different net-to-gross ratio and/or realization rate. Currently NFGDC uses a realization rate of 1.00 and a net-to-gross ratio of 0.90 for scorecard reporting purposes.

Appendices

Appendix A - Program History Description Summaries

Brief (1-2 Page) description of each program and program history, including the following information:

- Program Name
- \circ $\,$ One paragraph summary of program design and target sector $\,$
- o List of Orders and OEEE Director Letters modifying program (funds, targets, measures, etc.)
- Hyperlink or DMM File number for Current Implementation Plan

NFGDC Response:

Please refer to NFGDC's Conservation Incentive Program Implementation Plan, filed on March 21, 2013, at docket 07-M-0548 and filing number 1934.

All of the requested information is readily available and is easily accessible from the Company's filing for each of the Company's three programs – Residential Rebate Program, NRCIP and LIURP.

Appendix B – Evaluation Study Summaries

1-2 page summary of each evaluation completed during 2012

NFGDC Response:

A summary of evaluation activities completed during 2012, Year 5 of the Company's Conservation Incentive Program, will be filed at docket 07-M-0548 on March 28, 2013.

Although the Company continued its evaluation work during 2012, on multiple impact evaluations and follow up work on Cadmus' 2011 process evaluation, there are no new evaluation reports to summarize for 2012.